

Exhibit 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: GENERIC PHARMACEUTICALS
PRICING ANTITRUST LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

*The Kroger Co., et al v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.,
et al,*

MDL 2724
Case No. 16-MD-2724

Individual Case No. 18-CV-284 (CMR)

HON. CYNTHIA M. RUFFE

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE

AND NOW, this ____ day of _____, 2020, upon consideration of the Kroger Direct Action Plaintiffs' Motion for leave to file a response to Defendants' Notice of Supplemental Authority, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED**. The Kroger Direct Action Plaintiffs are permitted to file the proposed Response, which is attached as Exhibit 1 to their motion for leave to file.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

CYNTHIA M. RUFFE, J.